Inverse problems for parabolic integro-differential equations with instant and integral conditions

Hetk- ja integraaltingimustega pöördülesanded paraboolsetele integro-diferentsiaalvõrranditele

Kairi Kasemets

21.06.2016

1/30

Physical notation

Let us introduce the following parabolic integro-differential equation:

$$\beta[\mathbf{u} + \mu * \mathbf{u}]_t = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{m} * \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} + \chi, \tag{1}$$

where χ is the source term, the symbol * stands for the time convolution $v_1 * v_2(t) = \int_0^t v_1(t-\tau)v_2(\tau)d\tau$ and

$$A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + a(x,t),$$
(2)

where a_{ij} , a_j and a are some coefficients.

We will consider the solution u of the integro-differential equation (1) for the arguments

$$(x,t) \in Q = \Omega \times (0,T),$$

where $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an *n*-dimensional open domain and T > 0 is a fixed number.

Smooth problems

We will start with the initial-boundary value problem

$$\beta [u + \mu * u]_t = Au - m * Au + \chi \quad \text{in } Q, \tag{3}$$

$$u = u_0$$
 in $\Omega \times \{0\}$, $Bu = b$ in $S = \Gamma \times (0, T)$, (4)

where Γ is the boundary of Ω , u_0 and *b* are given functions, *B* is the boundary operator defined either by

$$Bu = u$$
 (we call it *case* I) (5)

21.06.2016

3/30

or by

$$\mathsf{B}\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{m} \ast \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} \quad \text{(we call it } \boldsymbol{case II}\text{)}, \tag{6}$$

 $\omega(x) = (\omega_1(x), \dots, \omega_n(x))$ is an *x*-dependent vector satisfying the condition $\omega \cdot \nu > 0$ and $\nu(x)$ is the outer normal of Γ at the point $x \in \Gamma$. We assume that $\omega \in (C^1(\Gamma))^n$.

Throughout the talk we assume that the *x*-dependent coefficient matrix a_{ij} of the higher order part of the operator *A* is uniformly elliptic, i.e.

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\lambda_i\lambda_j \ge \epsilon |\lambda|^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \text{ for any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and some } \epsilon \in (0,\infty) \quad (7)$$

and *x*-dependent coefficient β is strictly positive:

$$\beta \ge \beta_0$$
 in Ω with some $\beta_0 \in (0, \infty)$. (8)

In the analysis we will make use of the Hölder spaces $C^{l}(\Omega)$ and anisotropic Hölder spaces $C^{l,\frac{l}{2}}(Q)$. The norms in these spaces are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{l}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{l,\frac{l}{2}}$, respectively.

Let us formulate the following inverse problems that use over-determined final data at t = T of the solution of (3), (4):

IP1: Let the free term be of the following form:

$$\chi(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x})\phi(\mathbf{x},t) + \chi_0(\mathbf{x},t).$$
(9)

Given μ , m, β , a_{ij} , a_j , a, u_0 , b, ϕ , χ_0 and a function $u_T(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, find z and u so that the relations (3), (4), (9) and

$$u = u_T \text{ in } \Omega \times \{T\}$$
(10)

hold.

IP2: Let $a_t = 0$. Given μ , m, β , a_{ij} , a_j , u_0 , b, χ and a function $u_T(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, find *a* and *u* so that the relations (3), (4) and (10) hold.

IP3: Given μ , m, a_{ij} , a_j , a, u_0 , b, χ and a function $u_T(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, find β and u so that the relations (3), (4) and (10) hold.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ト

Define the resolvent kernel \hat{m} of the kernel *m* as the solution of the following Volterra integral equation:

$$\widehat{m}(t) - \int_0^t m(t-\tau)\widehat{m}(\tau)d\tau = m(t), \quad t \in (0,T).$$
(11)

Bringing the derivative with respect to *t* into the integral $\mu * u$ and applying the operator $I + \hat{m}*$ to the equation (3) and the boundary condition (4) in case II we transform the relations (3), (4) to the following form:

$$eta(u_t + k * u_t) = Au + f \text{ in } Q, \quad u = u_0 \text{ in } \Omega \times \{0\}, \quad B_1 u = g \text{ in } S,$$
(12)
where

$$\boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \ast \boldsymbol{\widehat{m}} + \boldsymbol{\widehat{m}}, \tag{13}$$

$$f = \chi - \beta \mu u_0 + \widehat{m} * (\chi - \beta \mu u_0), \qquad (14)$$

$$B_1 = B, \quad g = b \quad \text{in case I}, \qquad (15)$$

$$B_1 u = \omega \cdot \nabla u$$
, $g = b + \hat{m} * b$ in case II. (16)

21.06.2016 6/30

Theorem 1

Assume $k \in W_1^1(0, T)$, β , a_{ij} , $a_j \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $a \in C(\overline{Q})$ and

$$k \ge 0, \quad k' \le 0. \tag{17}$$

Let $u \in W_{p}^{2,1}(Q)$ with some $p \in (1,\infty)$ solve the problem (12) and $u_{0} \geq 0, g \geq 0, f \geq 0$. Then the following assertions are valid: (i) $u \geq 0$;

(ii) *if, in addition,* β , a_{ij} , $a_j \in C^{l}(\overline{\Omega})$, $a \in C^{l,\frac{l}{2}}(Q)$ with some $l \in (0, 1)$ and there exists an open subset Q_f of Q such that f > 0 in Q_f , then $u(\cdot, T) > 0$ in Ω in case I and $u(\cdot, T) > 0$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ in case II.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Results for IP1

IP1 is in the class of pairs (z, u) of functions, whose second component *u* together with its derivatives u_t , u_{x_i} , $u_{x_ix_j}$ belongs to $L^p(0, T)$, p > 1, for any *x*, equivalent to the following inverse problem:

$$\beta(u_t + k * u_t) = Au + zr + f_0 \text{ in } Q,$$

$$u = u_0 \text{ in } \Omega \times \{0\}, \quad B_1 u = g \text{ in } S,$$

$$u = u_T \text{ in } \Omega \times \{T\},$$
(18)
(19)

where B_1 , g are given by (15), (16) and

$$\mathbf{r} = \phi + \widehat{\mathbf{m}} * \phi, \quad \mathbf{f}_0 = \chi_0 - \beta \mu \mathbf{u}_0 + \widehat{\mathbf{m}} * (\chi_0 - \beta \mu \mathbf{u}_0). \tag{20}$$

Uniqueness theorem

Theorem 2

Let $k \in W_1^1(0, T)$, $k \ge 0$, $k' \le 0$ hold and β , a_{ij} , $a_j \in C^l(\Omega)$, $a \in C^{l, \frac{l}{2}}(Q)$, $a_t \in L^p(Q)$ with some $l \in (0, 1)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$. Moreover, let $a_t \ge 0$ in Q, $r \in C^{l, \frac{l}{2}}(Q)$, $r_t \in L^p(Q)$ and

$$r \ge 0, \quad r_t + k * r_t - \theta r \ge 0 \quad in \ Q,$$
 (21)

where $\theta = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{a(x, T)}{\beta(x)}$. Finally, assume that

for all $x \in \Omega$ there exists an open subset Q_x of Q such that $\exists t_x \in (0, T) : (x, t_x) \in Q_x$ and $r_t + k * r_t - \theta r > 0$ in Q_x .

If $(z, u) \in C^{l}(\Omega) \times C^{2+l,1+\frac{l}{2}}(Q)$ solves (18), (19) and $f_{0} = u_{0} = g = u_{T} = 0$ then z = 0, u = 0.

(22)

Existence and stability

Theorem 3

Let β , a_{ij} , $a_j \in C^{l}(\Omega)$, $a \in C^{l,\frac{l}{2}}(Q)$, $a_t \in L^p(Q)$ with some $l \in (0, 1)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, $a_t \ge 0$ and $k \in W_{\frac{2}{2-l}}^1(0, T)$, $k \ge 0$, $k' \le 0$. Concerning r, assume $r \in C^{l,\frac{l}{2}}(Q)$, $r_t \in L^p(Q)$, the relations (21), (22) and $r \ge \delta$ in $\overline{\Omega} \times (T - \delta, T)$, r = 0 in $\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \delta)$ with some $\delta \in (0, \frac{T}{2})$. Moreover, let $r_t - \theta r \ge 0$ in Q with $\theta = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{a(x, T)}{\beta(x)}$ and

for all $x \in \Omega$ there exists an open subset \tilde{Q}_x of Q such that $\exists \tilde{t}_x \in (0, T) : (x, \tilde{t}_x) \in \tilde{Q}_x$ and $r_t - \theta r > 0$ in \tilde{Q}_x .

In addition, let $f_0 \in C^{l,\frac{l}{2}}(Q)$, $u_0 \in C^{2+l}(\Omega)$, $g \in C^{2+l-\vartheta,1+\frac{l}{2}-\frac{\vartheta}{2}}(S)$, $u_T \in C^{2+l}(\Omega)$

Existence and stability

Theorem 3

and the following consistency conditions be valid:

(a)
$$u_0 = g$$
, $\beta g_t = Au_0 + f_0$ in case I,
 $\omega \cdot \nabla u_0 = g$ in case II in $\Gamma \times \{0\}$
(b) $u_T = g$ in case I,
 $\omega \cdot \nabla u_T = g$ in case II in $\Gamma \times \{T\}$.
(24)

Then the inverse problem (18), (19) has a unique solution (z, u) in the space $C^{l}(\Omega) \times C^{2+l,1+\frac{l}{2}}(Q)$. The solution satisfies the estimate

$$\|z\|_{l} + \|u\|_{2+l,1+\frac{l}{2}} \leq \Lambda(\beta, a_{ij}, a_{j}, a, k, r)$$

$$\times \left\{ \|f_{0}\|_{l,\frac{l}{2}} + \|u_{0}\|_{2+l} + \|g\|_{2+l-\vartheta,1+\frac{l}{2}-\frac{\vartheta}{2}} + \|u_{T}\|_{2+l} \right\}$$
(25)

with some constant Λ depending on the quantities shown in brackets.

Making use of results proved for IP1, we have proved global uniqueness and local existence and stability of solutions to IP2 and IP3.

21.06.2016

12/30

Non-smooth problems

Let (1) have the following form:

$$u_t + (\mu * u)_t = Au - m * Au + f + \nabla \cdot \phi + \varphi_t \quad \text{in } Q,$$
(26)

where $\nabla \cdot \phi$ and φ_t may be singular distributions, *A* is of the divergence type and has symmetric principal part, i.e.

$$(Av)(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (a_{ij}(x)v_{x_j})_{x_i} + a(x)v(x), \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji}.$$
$$u = u_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\}$$
(27)

$$u = g \quad \text{in } \Gamma_1 \times (0, T), \tag{28}$$

$$-\nu_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \nabla u + m * \nu_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \nabla u = h + \nu \cdot \phi \quad \text{in } \Gamma_2 \times (0, T),$$
(29)

where the functions u_0 , g, h are given and $\nu_A = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\nu_j\Big|_{i=1,...,n}\right)$ is the co-normal vector to Γ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, meas $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = 0$.

Inverse problems

Let us pose formal inverse problems. They use instant and integral data of the solution of (26) - (29).

IP4: Let the component *f* of the free term be of the form

$$f(x,t) = f_0(x,t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j(t) \omega_j(x)$$
(30)

and $\mu = 0$, $\varphi = 0$. Given $m, a_{ij}, a, u_0, f_0, \phi, g, h, \gamma_j, j = 1, ..., N$, and functions $u_{T_i}(x), x \in \Omega, i = 1, ..., N$ with $0 < T_1 < T_2 < ... < T_N \leq T$, find $\omega_j, j = 1, ..., N$, such that the solution u of (26) - (29) satisfies the following instant additional conditions:

$$u = u_{T_i}$$
 in $\Omega \times \{T_i\}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

IP5: Let the component *f* of the free term be of the form (30) and $\mu = 0, \varphi = 0$. Given $m, a_{ij}, a, f_0, \phi, g, h, \gamma_j, j = 1, ..., N$, and functions $v_i(x), x \in \Omega, i = 1, ..., N + 1$, find $\omega_j, j = 1, ..., N$, and u_0 such that the solution *u* of (26) - (29) satisfies the following integral additional conditions:

$$\int_0^T \kappa_i(x,t)u(x,t)dt = v_i(x), \ x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N+1,$$
(31)

where κ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, N + 1$ are given weights.

IP6: Let meas $\Gamma_2 > 0$. Given a_{ij} , u_0 , f, ϕ , φ , g, h and functions $u_T(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, $v_i(t)$, $t \in (0, T)$, i = 1, 2, find a, m and μ such that the solution of (26) - (29) satisfies the following final and integral additional conditions:

$$u = u_{T} \text{ in } \Omega \times \{T\},$$
(32)
$$\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \kappa_{i}(x, t) u(x, t) d\Gamma = v_{i}(t), \ t \in (0, T), \ i = 1, 2,$$
(33)

where κ_i , i = 1, 2, are weights and $d\Gamma$ is the surface measure on Γ_i .

We introduce *t*-dependent cylinders

$$\Gamma_{1,T} = \Gamma_1 \times (0,T), \quad \Gamma_{2,T} = \Gamma_2 \times (0,T).$$

In the treatment of the weak direct problem we make use of the following functional spaces:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{U}(Q) &= C([0,T];L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;W_2^1(\Omega)),\\ \mathcal{U}_0(Q) &= \Big\{ \eta \in \mathcal{U}(Q) \ : \ \eta|_{\Gamma_{1,T}} = 0 \ \text{ in case } \Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset \Big\},\\ \mathcal{T}(Q) &= \Big\{ \eta \in L^2(0,T;W_2^1(\Omega)) \ : \ \eta_t \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \Big\},\\ \mathcal{T}_0(Q) &= \Big\{ \eta \in \mathcal{T}(Q) \ : \ \eta|_{\Gamma_{1,T}} = 0 \ \text{ in case } \Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset \Big\}. \end{split}$$

21.06.2016 16/30

Let us collect other regularity assumptions on the data of the direct problem (26) - (29). They are

$$a_{ij} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \tag{34}$$

$$a \in L^{q_1}(\Omega)$$
, where $q_1 = 1$ if $n = 1$, $q_1 > \frac{n}{2}$ if $n \ge 2$, (35)

$$\mu \in L^{2}(0, T),$$
(36)
$$m \in L^{1}(0, T),$$
(37)

$$u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \tag{38}$$

$$g \in \mathcal{T}(Q), h \in L^2(\Gamma_{2,T}),$$
 (39)

$$\begin{array}{l} f \in L^2(0,\,T;\,L^{q_2}(\Omega)), & \text{where} \\ q_2 = 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \ q_2 \in (1,\,q_1) & \text{if } n = 2, \ q_2 = \frac{2n}{n+2} & \text{if } n \geq 3, \end{array}$$

$$\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in (L^2(Q))^n, \tag{41}$$

 $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}(Q)$ and in case $\Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset \quad \exists g_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{T}(Q) : \varphi = g_{\varphi} \text{ in } \Gamma_{1,T}.$ (42)

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[(u + \mu * u - \varphi)(x, T)\eta(x, T) - (u_0(x) - \varphi(x, 0))\eta(x, 0) \right] dx$$
$$- \iint_{Q} (u + \mu * u - \varphi)\eta_t \, dx dt \quad (43)$$

$$+ \iint_{Q} \Big[\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} (u_{x_j} - m * u_{x_j}) \eta_{x_i} - a(u - m * u) \eta \Big] dx dt$$

$$+ \iint_{\Gamma_{2,T}} h\eta \, d\Gamma dt - \iint_{Q} (f\eta - \phi \cdot \nabla \eta) \, dx dt = 0.$$

We call a *weak solution* of the problem (26) - (29) a function that belongs to $\mathcal{U}(Q)$, satisfies the relation (43) for any $\eta \in \mathcal{T}_0(Q)$ and, in case $\Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$, fulfills the boundary condition (28).

Theorem 4

Let (34) - (42) hold. Then the problem (26) - (29) has a unique weak solution $u \in U(Q)$.

The function $u \in U(Q)$ satisfies the relation (43) for any $\eta \in T_0(Q)$ if and only if it satisfies the following relation

$$\int_{\Omega} (u + \mu * u - \varphi) * \eta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} (u_0(x) - \varphi(x, 0)) \eta(x, \tau) d\tau dx$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1} * \Big[\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} (u_{x_j} - m * u_{x_j}) * \eta_{x_i} - a(u - m * u) * \eta \Big] dx (44)$$
$$\int_{\Gamma_2} \mathbf{1} * h * \eta \, d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1} * \Big(f * \eta - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i * \eta_{x_i} \Big) dx = 0, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

3

19/30

21.06.2016

for any $\eta \in \mathcal{U}_0(Q)$.

+

Quasi-solutions

(A) Firstly, let us consider IP4. We look for the vector of unknowns $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N)$ in the space $\mathcal{Z}_1 = (L^2(\Omega))^N$. Assume that $\mu = 0$, $\varphi = 0$, (34), (35), (37) - (39), (41) hold, f_0 satisfies (40) and $\gamma_j \in L^2(0, T), j = 1, \ldots, N$. Then, by Theorem 4, the problem (26) - (29) with *f* of the form (30) has a unique weak solution $u \in \mathcal{U}(Q)$ for any $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}_1$. We denote this ω -dependent solution by $u(x, t; \omega)$.

Let $M \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1$. Assume $u_{T_i} \in L^2(\Omega)$, i = 1, ..., N. The quasi-solution of IP4 in the set M is an element $\omega^* \in \arg \min_{\omega \in M} J_1(\omega)$, where J_1 is the following cost functional:

$$J_1(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^N \|u(x, T_i; \omega) - u_{T_i}(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

(B) In IP5 we search for vectors $z = (\omega, u_0) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 = (L^2(\Omega))^{N+1}$. Assume that $\mu = 0$, $\varphi = 0$, (34), (35), (37), (39), (41) hold, f_0 satisfies (40) and $\gamma_j \in L^2(0, T)$, j = 1, ..., N. Then the problem (26) - (29) with f of the form (30) has a unique weak solution $u = u(x, t; z) \in \mathcal{U}(Q)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Further, let $M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2$ and assume that $\kappa_i \in L^{\infty}(Q)$, $v_i \in L^2(\Omega)$, i = 1, ..., N + 1. The quasi-solution of IP5 in the set M is $Z^* \in \arg\min_{z \in M} J_2(z)$, where J_2 is the cost functional

$$J_2(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \left\| \int_0^T \kappa_i(\cdot, t) u(\cdot, t; z) dt - v_i \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

(C) In IP6 we look for the vector $z = (a, m, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}_3 = L^2(\Omega) \times (L^2(0, T))^2$. Assume that $n \in \{1; 2; 3\}$. This guarantees that any $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ satisfies (35). Moreover, assume that (34), (38) - (42) hold, where $q_2 \in (1, 2)$ in (40) in case n = 2. Under such assumptions the problem (26) - (29) has a unique weak solution $u = u(x, t; z) \in U(Q)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_3$ and assume that $u_T \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\kappa_i \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma_{2,T})$, $v_i \in L^2(0, T)$, i = 1, 2. The quasi-solution of IP6 in the set M is $z^* \in \arg\min_{z \in M} J_3(z)$, where J_3 is the cost functional

$$J_{3}(z) = \|u(\cdot, T; z) - u_{T}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{2}} \kappa_{i}(x, \cdot) u(x, \cdot; z) d\Gamma - v_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)}^{2}$$

21.06.2016 22/30

Derivative of J_1

Theorem 5

Let the assumptions listed in (A) be satisfied. Then the functional J_1 is Fréchet differentiable in \mathcal{Z}_1 and $J'_1(\omega)\Delta\omega = \langle \varrho_1, \Delta\omega \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_1}$, where the ω -dependent vector $\varrho_1 = \varrho_1(x; \omega)$ consists of the components

$$\varrho_{1,j}(x;\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T_i} \gamma_j(t) \psi_i(x, T_i - t; \omega) dt, \ j = 1, \dots, N,$$
(45)

 $\psi_i = \psi_i(x, t; \omega) \in \mathcal{U}(Q), i = 1, ..., N$, are the unique ω -dependent weak solutions of the following (adjoint) problems:

Theorem 6

$$\psi_{i,t} = A\psi_i - m * A\psi_i \quad in \ Q_{T_i}, \tag{46}$$

$$\psi_i = 2[u(x, T_i; \omega) - u_{T_i}(x)] \quad in \ \Omega \times \{0\}, \tag{47}$$

$$\psi_i = 0 \quad in \ \Gamma_{1,T_i}, \tag{48}$$

$$-\nu_A \cdot \nabla \psi_i + m * \nu_A \cdot \nabla \psi_i = 0 \quad in \ \Gamma_{2,T_i} \tag{49}$$

and $\langle \varrho_1, \omega \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}_1} = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \varrho_{1,j}, \omega_j \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is the inner product of ϱ_1 and ω in the space \mathcal{Z}_1 .

A D > A B > A B > A

Analogously we can formulate results for Frechet derivative of J_2 and J_3 .

• • • • • • • • • • • •

21.06.2016

25/30

Existence of quasi-solutions

Theorem 7

Let the assumptions listed in (A) be satisfied and $M \subset \mathcal{Z}_1$ be compact. Then IP4 has a quasi-solution in M. Similar assertions are valid for IP5 and IP6, too.

Theorem 8

Let the assumptions listed in (A) be satisfied and $M \subset Z_1$ be bounded, closed and convex. Then IP4 has a quasi-solution in M. The set of quasi-solutions is closed and convex. Similar assertion is valid for IP5, too.

Theorem 9

Let the assumptions listed in (C) be satisfied. Assume that n = 1, $\Omega = (c, d), \varphi = 0, g(\cdot, 0) = 0$ and M be bounded, closed and convex. Then IP6 has a quasi-solution in M.

Thank you!



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国≯

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{l,\frac{l}{2}}(Q) &= \Big\{ \mathbf{v} : D_t^j D_x^\alpha \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}(Q) \text{ for } 2j + |\alpha| \leq [l], \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|_{l,\frac{l}{2}} &:= \sum_{2j+|\alpha| \leq [l]} \Big[\sup_{\substack{(\mathbf{x},t) \in \\ \Omega \times [0,T]}} \Big| D_t^j D_x^\alpha \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t) \Big| + \sup_{\substack{(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2,t) \in \\ \Omega \times \Omega \times [0,T]}} \Big| \langle D_t^j D^\alpha \mathbf{v} \rangle_{l-[l]}(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2;t) \Big| \\ &+ \sup_{\substack{(\mathbf{x},t_1,t_2) \in \\ \Omega \times [0,T] \times [0,T]}} \Big| \langle D_t^j D^\alpha \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\frac{l-[l]}{2}}(\mathbf{x};t_1,t_2) \Big| \Big] < \infty \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

21.06.2016 29 / 30

The Frechet' derivative has the form

$$J_{1}'(\gamma)\Delta\gamma = \langle \varrho_{1}, \Delta\gamma \rangle_{(L^{2}(0,T))^{N}}$$

with the components

$$\varrho_{1,j}(t;\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \omega_j(x) \Theta_{[0,T_i]}(t) \psi_i(x,T_i-t;\gamma) dx,$$

where $\Theta_{[0,T_i]}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[0, T_i]$, i.e.

$$\Theta_{[0,T_i]}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [0,T_i] \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin [0,T_i] \end{cases}$$

21.06.2016

30/30

and ψ_i are the solutions of (3.51), i.e. the adjoint problems remain unchanged.